## Assessment 1: Case Study - presentation to staff $5 \%$ of final grade

UniSA Education Futures
Digital Citizenship (EDUC 1084)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Name: \& \& \& \& Tutor: \& Melis \& sa Bo \& nd \& \& \\
\hline Key components of this assignment \& High Distinction \& Distinction \& Credit \& Pass 1 \& \& Pass \& \& Fail 1 \& Fail 2 \\
\hline Presentation to external stakeholders
\[
25 \%
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Used advanced and professional multimodal strategies to engage audience, stakeholders, and colleagues. \\
21.5-25 marks
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Used considered and professional multi-modal strategies to engage audience, stakeholders, and colleagues. \\
19-21 marks
\end{tabular} \& Used professional multimodal strategies to engage audience, stakeholders, and colleagues.
\[
16.5-18.5 \text { marks }
\] \& Used appropriate strategies to engage audience, stakeholders, and colleagues.
14-16 marks \& Used lim to enga stakeho colleag
12.5-1 \& mited s
ge aud
lders,
ues.

3.5 ma \& | trategies ience, and |
| :--- |
| arks | \& Used very limited strategies to engage audience, stakeholders, and colleagues.

10-12 marks \& No strategies used to engage audience, stakeholders, and colleagues.

$$
0-9.5 \text { marks }
$$ <br>

\hline Individual class case study results

\[
45 \%

\] \& | Outstanding and highly detailed overview of survey results. |
| :--- |
| 38.5-45 marks | \& | Excellent overview of survey results. |
| :--- |
| 34-38 marks | \& | Very good overview of survey results. |
| :--- |
| 29.5-33.5 marks | \& | Good overview of survey results. |
| :--- |
| 25-29 marks | \& Satisfac survey

$$
22.5-2
$$ \& tory or

4.5 m \& verview of \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Unsatisfactory overview of survey results. <br>
18-22 marks

 \& 

No overview of survey results given. <br>
0 marks
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline Comparison between year levels

$$
30 \%
$$ \& Comprehensive and in-depth comparison between the two classes.

25.5-30 marks \& | Great comparison between the two classes. |
| :--- |
| 22.5-25 marks | \& Very good comparison between the two classes.

19.5-22 marks \& Good comparison between the two classes.
16.5-19 marks \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Limited comparison between the two classes.
15-16 marks} \& Very limited comparison between the two classes.

12-14.5 marks \& | No comparison made between the two classes. |
| :--- |
| 0 marks | <br>

\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{7}{*}{Summary Comment:
Grade:}} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{tior} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Sten}} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& Ostinction \& $\bigcirc$ \& ${ }_{5}^{85.100}{ }^{588}$ \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& credr \& ${ }^{\circ}$ \& ${ }^{65774}$ \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& Pass level2 \& ${ }^{12}$ \& ${ }_{5564}^{5594}$ \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{High performance on all learning outcomes OR excellent performance on the majority of the learning} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& ${ }^{\text {al }}$ \& ${ }^{51}$ \& ${ }^{\text {co. } 549}$ \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& Fanleenl2 \& ${ }^{12}$ \& Beom 40 \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

